

usyouthsoccer.org

National Small-Sided Games Expansion Updated: May 26, 2005

| State | Voted | Age Groups | Year Implemented |
|---------------------------|---------|---|--|
| 1. Alabama (III) | YES | 6v6 for U9/10 8v8 for U11/12 | Phase-in completed by Fall 2005 |
| 2. Alaska (IV) | YES | 6v6 for U9/10 8v8 for U11 | Phase-in completed by Fall 2003 |
| 3. Arizona (IV) | NO | | |
| 4. Arkansas (III) | YES | 3v3 for U6 4v4 for U8 6v6 for U9/10 8v8 for U11/12 | Phase-in completed by Fall 2005 |
| 5. California North (IV) | NO | 5v5 for U6 7v7 for U8 9v9 & 8v8 for U10 11v11 for U12 | This set has been in place since 1989 |
| 6. California South (IV) | NO | 3v3 for U6 4v4 for U8 8v8 for U10 11v11 for U12 | This set has been in place since 2000 |
| 7. Colorado (IV) | YES | Right now, Colorado is at the following: U6; 3:3 U8; 4:4 U10: 8:8 U11-19; 11:11 | |
| 8. Connecticut (I) | NO | | |
| 9. Delaware (I) | YES | 8v8 for U10 | Phase-in completed by Fall 2004 |
| 10. East New York (I) | YES | 3v3 for U6 4v4 for U8 6v6 for U9/10 8v8 for U11 | Phase-in completed by Fall 2005 |
| 11. East Pennsylvania (I) | NO | 3v3 for U6 4v4 for U8 6v6 for U10 11v11 for U12 HIGHLY RECOMMENDED | |
| 12. Florida (III) | YES | 3v3 is recommended for U6 4v4 for U6 & U8 6v6 for U10 8v8 for U12 | Phase-in completed by Fall 2005 |
| 13. Georgia (III) | YES | 6v6 for U9/10 8v8 for U11/12 | Phase-in completed by Fall 2005 |
| 14. Hawaii (IV) | NO | | |
| 15. Idaho (IV) | YES | 3v3 for U6 4v4 for U8 6v6 for U9/U10 8v8 for U11/12 | Phase-in completed by Fall 2005 |
| 16. Illinois (II) | Pending | | Implementation Convention March 13, 2004 |
| 17. Indiana (II) | YES | 6v6 U9/10 | Phase-in completed by Fall |

usyouthsoccer.org

| | | | |
|-----------------------|-----|--|---|
| | | 8v8 U11/12 | 2004 |
| 18. Iowa (II) | YES | 3v3 for U6 4v4 for U8 6v6 for U10 8v8 for U12 | Mandated in 2002 |
| 19. Kansas (II) | YES | 3v3 for U6 4v4 for U8 6v6 for U9/U10 8v8 for U11/12 | Phase-in completed by Fall 2005, but some leagues still operating with dual formats for age groups. |
| 20. Kentucky (II) | YES | 7v7 for U9/10 9v9 for U11/12 | Phase-in completed by Fall 2004 |
| 21. Louisiana (III) | YES | 3v3 for U6 4v4 for U8 6v6 for U9/10 8v8 for U11/12 | Phase-in completed by Fall 2005 |
| 22. Maine (I) | YES | 6v6 for U9/10 8v8 for U11 | Phase-in completed by Fall 1999 |
| 23. Maryland (I) | NO | | |
| 24. Massachusetts (I) | NO | 6v6 for U10 8v8 for U12 | |
| 25. Michigan (II) | YES | 6v6 for U9/10 8v8 for U11/12 | Phase-in completed by Fall 2004 |
| 26. Minnesota (II) | YES | 3v3 for U6 4v4 for U8 6v6 for U10 8v8 for U12 | Phase-in completed by Fall 2004 |
| 27. Mississippi (III) | YES | 6v6 for U9/10 8v8 for U11/12 | Phase-in completed now |
| 28. Missouri (II) | YES | 6v6 for U9/10 8v8 for U11/12 | |
| 29. Montana (IV) | YES | 3v3 for U6 4v4 for U8 6v6 for U9/10 8v8 for U11/12 | Phase-in completed by Fall 2004 |
| 30. Nebraska (II) | YES | 6v6 for U-9/10 8v8 for U11/12 | Phase in completed by Fall 2004 |
| 31. Nevada (IV) | NO | U6 3V3 U8 6V6 U10 8V8 Nothing for U11 or U12. | Nevada has not voted, but some leagues are playing small sided. |
| 32. New Hampshire (I) | YES | 6v6 for U9/10 | Phase-in completed by Fall 2003 |
| 33. New Jersey (I) | NO | | |
| 34. New Mexico (IV) | YES | U6 3v3 U8 4v4 U10 6v6 U11 8v8 | Our state just voted in full implementation of SSG including U12 to be completed fall 2007. |


usyouthsoccer.org

| | | | |
|---------------------------|---------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| 35. New York West (I) | YES | 3v3 recommended: 4v4 maximum for U6 5v5 recommended: 6v6 maximum for U8 6v6 recommended: 7v7 maximum for U10 9v9 for U11 9v9 for U12 by 2006 | Phase-in completed by Fall 2006 |
| 36. North Carolina (III) | YES | 6v6 for U9/10 8v8 for U11/12 | Phase-in completed by Fall 2005 |
| 37. North Dakota (II) | Proposed Sept. 2003 | 6v6 for U9/10 8v8 for U11/12 | Phase-in completed by Fall 2004 |
| 38. North Texas (III) | YES | 6v6 for U9/10 | Phase-in completed by Fall 2005 |
| 39. Ohio North (II) | YES | 6v6 for U9/10 8v8 for U11/12 | Phase-in completed by Fall 2005 |
| 40. Ohio South (II) | NO | | |
| 41. Oklahoma (III) | YES | 6v6 for U9/10 8v8 for U11/12 | Phase-in completed by Fall 2005 |
| 42. Oregon (IV) | YES | 6v6 for U9 | Phase-in completed by Fall 2003 |
| 43. Pennsylvania West (I) | YES | 3v3 for U6 4v4 for U8 7v7 for U9/10 9v9 for U11 11v11 for U12 | Phase-in completed by Fall 2004 |
| 44. Rhode Island (I) | NO | | |
| 45. South Carolina (III) | YES | 3v3 for U6 4v4 for U8 6v6 for U9/10 8v8 for U11/12 | Phase-in completed by Fall 2005 |
| 46. South Dakota (II) | YES | 6v6 for U9/10 8v8 for U11/12 | Fall 2003 |
| 47. South Texas (III) | YES | 6v6 for U9/10 | Phase-in completed by Fall 2007 |
| 48. Tennessee (III) | YES | 6v6 for U9/10 8v8 for U11/12 | Phase-in completed by Fall 2004 |
| 49. Utah (IV) | YES | 3v3 no more than 4v4 for U6 4v4 no more than 6v6 for U8 5v5 no more than 8v8 for U10 11v11 for U11+ | Phase-in completed by Fall 2003 |
| 50. Vermont (I) | NO | | |
| 51. Virginia (I) | YES | 3v3 & 4v4 no GKs for U6 4v4 & 5v5 no GKs for U8 6v6 & 7v7 for U9/10 8v8 & 11v11 for U12 | Phase-in completed by Fall 2006 |
| 52. Washington (IV) | YES | 3v3 for U7 by 2002 4v4 for U8 by 2003 5v5 for U9 by 2004 6v6 for U10 by 2005 9v9 for U11 by 2006 | Phase-in completed by Fall 2006 |
| 53. West Virginia (I) | YES | 6v6 for U9/10 | Phase-in completed by Fall |

usyouthsoccer.org

| | | | |
|--------------------|-----|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | 8v8 for U11/12 | 2004 |
| 54. Wisconsin (II) | YES | 6v6 for U9/10 8v8 for U11/12 | Phase-in completed by Fall 2005 |
| 55. Wyoming (IV) | YES | 6v6 for U9/10 8v8 for U11/12 | Phase-in completed by Fall 2004 |

The original document and data was created and compiled by Curtis Blair, former Director of Coaching for the Tennessee State Soccer Association.



★ *Implemented Small-Sided Games*

★ *Partial Small-Sided Games*

★ *Currently No Implementation*